UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ABIGALE PFINGSTEN, on behalf herself)
and all others similarly situated,)
·)
Plaintiff,)
) Case No.: 2:20-CV-00716
V.)
CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY,))
Defendant.) HONORABLE CATHY BISSOON
ANOKHY DESAI, individually and on)
behalf of all others similarly situated,)
•)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.	
CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY,))
Defendant.)
)

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFS REGARDING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

In the time since the parties completed briefing Defendant's motion to dismiss, two decisions have been issued in similar cases pending in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania: *Smith v. University of Pennsylvania*, Case No. 20-2086 (E.D. Pa. April 20, 2021), and *Ryan v. Temple University*, Case No. 5:20-cv-02164 (E.D. Pa. April 22, 2021), and one decision has been issued in another case pending in this District: *Hickey v. University of Pittsburgh*, 2:20-cv-690 (W.D. Pa. April 27, 2021).

The claims and defenses resolved in these decisions share general similarities with those at issue in Defendant's motion to dismiss. Specifically, these three opinions appear to be the first

from federal courts in Pennsylvania addressing whether student plaintiffs stated claims for

breach of contract or unjust enrichment under Pennsylvania law against universities that

switched to remote instruction during the Covid-19 pandemic. Plaintiffs believe these decisions

contain contradictions and raise significant issues of Pennsylvania contract law in the educational

context that the parties have not fully addressed in their previous briefing, warranting deeper

discussion from the parties than would typically be permissible in notices of supplemental

authority.

Plaintiffs therefore request that the Court permit the parties to submit supplemental briefs

of up to ten pages to address the Smith, Ryan, and Hickey opinions and any other significant

opinions issued since the parties' motion to dismiss briefing concluded. Plaintiffs propose that if

the Court grants this motion, the parties' supplemental briefs should be due on the same day,

within 14 days of the Court's order. Plaintiffs' counsel sought consent from Defendant's counsel

prior to filing this motion, and Defendant does not consent.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request this Court grant the parties leave to file

supplemental briefs of up to ten pages regarding Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

Date: April 28, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Gary F. Lynch

Gary F. Lynch

Edward W. Ciolko*

Kelly K. Iverson

1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor

Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Telephone: (412) 322-9243

Facsimile: (412) 231-0246

glynch@carlsonlynch.com

eciolko@carlsonlynch.com

kiverson@carlsonlynch.com

2

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

Philip L. Fraietta (Pro Hac Vice) 888 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (646) 837-7150 Facsimile: (212) 989-9163

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

Email: pfraietta@bursor.com

Frederick J. Klorczyk III*
1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Telephone: (925) 300-4455
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700
Email: fklorczyk@bursor.com

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

Sarah N. Westcot*
2665 S. Bayshore Drive, Suite 220
Miami, FL 33133
Telephone: (305) 330-5512

Facsimile: (305) 676-9006 Email: swestcot@bursor.com

Interim Class Counsel